

to...or on the reconsideration motion, excuse me. Senator Wesely. Senator Landis.

SENATOR LANDIS: Mr. Speaker, members of the Legislature, if I understand what is happening this morning, Senator Chambers would like to punish us for having advanced 775 this far, and Senator Schmit wants to punish us for not having advanced his motion earlier this morning, and we are just getting a licking from all sides, it looks like, and we are having a tough time making some headway. Now, Senator Chambers, as I understand, has a serious amendment on capital gains. He had it up in bill drafters. As a matter of fact, that is an issue we have all wanted to talk about. Senator Nelson has got some concern on that front. We have others as well. And we have some amendments which we have been calling, I guess, the heart attack amendments. Now the heart attack amendments I think are designed to show Senator Chambers' disdain for this piece of legislation, and the heart attack amendments, well, we are on one of them now. The dentures amendment is definitely a heart attack amendment, wouldn't you say? It is meant either to give us or somebody else a heart attack, and what I would suggest for the body to do is this. I think Senator Schmit needs...if he won't withdraw this motion, we need to vote this down, and if we have to deal with some more heart attack amendments, I suggest we have Senator Chambers make his opening, that we get to a quick call of the question, and we sit patiently and let the amendments come as they will, that after a period of time has passed, we will get to the genuine issues for this morning, which are capital gains and the Conway amendment, and on those we will have a good open discussion with a lot of people participating, but it does not make sense, I don't think, to respond, at this point, to the heart attack amendments. I think we can deal with those by just simply listening and voting and giving Senator Chambers his round at raising the bodily temperatures and the stress factors of certain Omaha executives. But, it seems to me, between Senator Chambers' desire to slow down the process and Senator Schmit's desire to slow down the process, we really are in a double whammy and won't be able to get any place over time. So I would hope that we would be patient and that we would just be able to not get into the issue of responding to Senator Chambers on the heart attack amendments, that we wait for the big stuff to come down which is capital gains and the Conway amendment, and we do our business then.

SPEAKER BARRETT: Senator Korshoj, please, followed by Senator